## Message

From: Greene, Nikia [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=32A08A414A4F40199B557C0819EB7D0B-GREENE, NIKIA]

**Sent**: 1/6/2020 4:14:11 PM

To: Mark Thompson [MThompson@montanaresources.com]

Subject: FW: Reply meconim

FYI: please also share sparingly- only with your experts, we asked Turker (author of McDermott reference) about a units error and he responded right away.

Nikia Greene Remedial Project Manager U.S. EPA, Region 8 (406)-457-5019 greene.nikia@epa.gov

From: Partridge, Charles <Partridge.Charles@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, January 03, 2020 9:17 AM

To: Lynn Woodbury <woodburyl@cdmsmith.com>

Cc: David Shanight <shanightdt@cdmsmith.com>; Wall, Dan <wall.dan@epa.gov>; Greene, Nikia

<Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Reply meconim

I agree. I don't think they will brink it up after we hit them with this. This was the only thing that gave them a little wiggle room and now that we have confirmed the typo there is nothing for them to even grasp at. If you could update the figure and add a footnote to the Turker et al, \*units have been correct as per a personal communication between crp and gt on 1/2/20

On Jan 3, 2020, at 9:10 AM, Woodbury, Lynn <<u>woodburyl@cdmsmith.com</u>> wrote:

Wow, that was a quick response! Just as we suspected...great to have confirmation from the author that our assumption was correct.

Just as an aside, I think the uncharacteristic lead results in Turker's 2006 citation are also likely due to a ng vs. ug units error, but since we aren't really focusing as much on the lead data, we may just want to let that one slide. (No sense adding salt to the wound...)

## 

Lynn Woodbury | CDM Smith | 555 17th Street, Suite 500 | Denver, CO 80202 | direct: 303.383.2382 | fax: 303.308.3003 | woodburyL@cdmsmith.com

From: Partridge, Charles < Partridge, Charles@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, January 2, 2020 5:46 PM

To: Wall, Dan <wall.dan@epa.gov>; Woodbury, Lynn <woodburyl@cdmsmith.com>; Greene, Nikia

<Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Reply meconim

This is great news!!!!

## Sent from my iPhone

## Begin forwarded message:

From: Gülcan Türker ( Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Date: January 2, 2020 at 5:43:03 PM MST

To: "Partridge, Charles" < Partridge, Charles@epa.gov>

Subject: Reply meconim

Dear Partridge

Thank you for your attention.

Units is not correct. The correct unit is microgram/gram/kg. Laboratory results are given to us as microgram / gram dry weight meconium. We only divided the baby's weight in kg. Forexample 92 mikrogram /g zinc×2,070kg=190,44 mikrogram/gram dry weight meconium. Microgram symbol was written as a nanogram symbol.

Huawei Mobil'imden gönderildi